The Unpredictability of Individual-Level Longevity Flash — Socioeconomic Inequalities in Mortality

Casey F. Breen ¹ Nathan Seltzer ¹

¹University of California, Berkeley | Department of Demography

April 13, 2023

Social Science is increasingly interested in individual-level outcomes

Researchers are increasingly seeking to pose and answer research questions about prediction at the individual-level (Hofman, Sharma and Watts, 2017; Salganik et al., 2020; Arpino, Le Moglie and Mencarini, 2022)

Social Science is increasingly interested in individual-level outcomes

- Researchers are increasingly seeking to pose and answer research questions about prediction at the individual-level (Hofman, Sharma and Watts, 2017; Salganik et al., 2020; Arpino, Le Moglie and Mencarini, 2022)
- Explosion in types and volume of data available + advances in computing have opened up new opportunities for prediction

Research question: how accurately can age of death be predicted from sociodemographic characteristics?

Research question: how accurately can age of death be predicted from sociodemographic characteristics? Why should we care?

How much do theories about mortality (e.g., fundamental cause theory) tell us about individual-level longevity?

Research question: how accurately can age of death be predicted from sociodemographic characteristics? Why should we care?

- How much do theories about mortality (e.g., fundamental cause theory) tell us about individual-level longevity?
- Predictive algorithms are increasingly used for policy

Research question: how accurately can age of death be predicted from sociodemographic characteristics? Why should we care?

- How much do theories about mortality (e.g., fundamental cause theory) tell us about individual-level longevity?
- Predictive algorithms are increasingly used for policy



Child protective agencies are haunted when they fail to save kids. Pittsburgh officials believe a new data analysis program is helping them make better judgment calls. An Algorithm That Grants Freedom, or Takes It Away

Across the United States and Europe, software is making probation decisions and predicting whether teens will commit crime. Opponent want more human oversight.

Can an Algorithm Prevent Suicide?

The Department of Veterans Affairs has turned to machinelearning to help identify vets at risk of taking their own lives.

Our approach:

 Use large-scale, linked census + mortality data from CenSoc-DMF (Goldstein et al., 2021)

Our approach:

- Use large-scale, linked census + mortality data from CenSoc-DMF (Goldstein et al., 2021)
 - Focus on cohort of 1910, age 29/30 when observed in 1940 Census (N = 130k)

Our approach:

- Use large-scale, linked census + mortality data from CenSoc-DMF (Goldstein et al., 2021)
 - Focus on cohort of 1910, age 29/30 when observed in 1940 Census (N = 130k)
- Train 8 different machine learning algorithms to predict individual-level age of death

Our approach:

- Use large-scale, linked census + mortality data from CenSoc-DMF (Goldstein et al., 2021)
 - Focus on cohort of 1910, age 29/30 when observed in 1940 Census (N = 130k)
- Train 8 different machine learning algorithms to predict individual-level age of death

Train/test split for prediction exercise



5/11 References

CenSoc allows us to zoom in on "high-resolution" aggregate mortality disparities (e.g., education staircase)



Data and Methods



Our best model only explains 1.3% of variation in age of death in test dataset



Algorithm Performance (R^2)

Introduction 000

7/11 References

We cannot use sociodemographic characteristics alone to accurately predict individual-level longevity

- We cannot use sociodemographic characteristics alone to accurately predict individual-level longevity
- Three takeaways:

We cannot use sociodemographic characteristics alone to accurately predict individual-level longevity

Three takeaways:

1. We can still study differences between groups

We cannot use sociodemographic characteristics alone to accurately predict individual-level longevity

Three takeaways:

- 1. We can still study differences between groups
- 2. Machine learning gives us modest gains over simple baseline model

We cannot use sociodemographic characteristics alone to accurately predict individual-level longevity

Three takeaways:

- 1. We can still study differences between groups
- 2. Machine learning gives us modest gains over simple baseline model
- 3. Healthy skepticism around using prediction for policy

Working Paper on SocArXiv

► We would love feedback



Thank You

Α

Variance Explained (%)

в

1.5%

1.0%

0.5%

0.0%

82

Predicted Age of Death

76.

1 28%

SuperLearner obm

 Ω^2 -0.019 0<2.20-16

Algorithm Performance (R²) 1.25%

1.12% 1.11% 1.06%

ridge lm. xqboost lasso

Superlearner: Predicted vs. Observed Age of Death

Algorithm

80

Actual Age of Death

0.93%







Introduction

Data and Methods

70

Results

Discussion of findings 000

References

References

- Arpino, Bruno, Marco Le Moglie and Letizia Mencarini. 2022. "What Tears Couples Apart: A Machine Learning Analysis of Union Dissolution in Germany." Demography 59(1):161–186.
- Goldstein, J. R., M. Alexander, C. Breen, A. Miranda González, F. Menares, M. Osborne, M. Snyder and U. Yildirim. 2021. "Censoc Project." CenSoc Mortality File: Version 2.0. Berkeley: University of California.

Hofman, Jake M., Amit Sharma and Duncan J. Watts. 2017. "Prediction and Explanation in Social Systems." Science 355(6324):486-488.

Salganik, Matthew J., Ian Lundberg, Alexander T. Kindel, Caitlin E. Ahearn, Khaled Al-Ghoneim, Abdullah Almaatouq, Drew M. Altschul, Jennie E. Brand, Nicole Bohme Carnegie, Ryan James Compton, Debanjan Datta, Thomas Davidson, Anna Filippova, Connor Gilroy, Brian J. Goode, Eaman Jahani, Ridhi Kashyap, Antje Kirchner, Stephen McKay, Allison C. Morgan, Alex Pentland, Kivan Polimis, Louis Raes, Daniel E. Rigobon, Claudia V. Roberts, Diana M. Stanescu, Yoshihiko Suhara, Adaner Usmani, Erik H. Wang, Muna Adem, Abdulla Alhajri, Bedoor AlShebli, Redwane Amin, Ryan B. Amos, Lisa P. Argyle, Livia Baer-Bositis, Moritz Büchi, Bo-Ryehn Chung, William Eggert, Gregory Faletto, Zhilin Fan, Jeremy Freese, Tejomay Gadgil, Josh Gagné, Yue Gao, Andrew Halpern-Manners, Sonia P. Hashim, Sonia Hausen, Guanhua He, Kimberly Higuera, Bernie Hogan, Ilana M. Horwitz, Lisa M. Hummel, Naman Jain, Kuu Jin, David Jurgens, Patrick Kaminski, Areg Karapetyan, E. H. Kim, Ben Leizman, Naijia Liu, Malte Möser, Andrew E. Mack, Mayank Mahajan, Noah Mandell, Helge Marahrens, Diana Mercado-Gacia, Viola Mocz, Katariina Mueller-Gastell, Ahmed Musse, Qiankun Niu, William Nowak, Hamidreza Omidvar, Andrew Or, Karen Ouyang, Katy M. Pinto, Ethan Porter, Kristin E. Porter, Crystal Qian, Tamkinat Rauf, Anahit Sargsyan, Thomas Schaffner, Landon Schnabel, Bryan Schonfeld, Ben Sender, Jonathan D. Tang, Emma Tsurkov, Austin van Loon, Onur Varol, Xiafei Wang, Zhi Wang, Julia Wang, Flora Wang, Samantha Weissman, Kirstie Whitaker, Maria K. Wolters, Wei Lee Woon, James Wu, Catherine Wu, Kengran Yang, Jingwen Yin, Bingyu Zhao, Chenyun Zhu, Jeanne Brooks-Gunn, Barbara E. Engelhardt, Moritz Hardt, Dean Knox, Karen Levy, Arvind Narayanan, Brandon M. Stewart, Duncan J. Watts and Sara McLanahan. 2020. "Measuring the Predictability of Life Outcomes with a Scientific Mass Collaboration." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117(15):8398–8403.

